

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 JBI *Critical Apparsial Toll*

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Pourtemour, S
Tahun Publikasi	2023
Judul	<i>The relationship between mental workload and job performance among Iranian nurses providing care to COVID-19 patients: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Nursing Management</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13305

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 8/8

Persentase: 100%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Yosiana et al
Tahun Publikasi	2020
Judul	<i>The Analysis of Workload and Work Environment on Nurse Performance with Job Stress as Mediation Variable</i>
Sumber	https://publishing-widyagama.ac.id/ejournal-v2/index.php/jسد/article/view/1326

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Nnko, E. E.
Tahun Publikasi	2019
Judul	<i>INFLUENCE OF WORKLOAD ON PERFOMANCE OF NURSES IN REGIONAL HOSPITALS IN TANZANIA</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.37430/jen.v3i2

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			√	
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Forestriano Bayu Putra Ruga
Tahun Publikasi	2019
Judul	<i>Impact of Physical Workload and Mental Workload on Nurse Performance: A Path Analysis</i>
Sumber	https://DOI:10.35654/ijnhs.v5i2.604

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			√	
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Pundati, T. M
Tahun Publikasi	2019
Judul	<i>Motivation, Competence, Workload, and Their Association with Nurse Performance in Dr. Arif Zaenudin Hospital, Surakarta</i>
Sumber	https:// DOI: 10.35654/ijnhs.v5i2.604

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Kurniawati
Tahun Publikasi	2023
Judul	<i>The Effect of Workload, Burnout, and Work Motivation on Nurse Performance. Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jam.2023.021.04.05

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Wang,L
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>The impact of perceived workload on nurse presenteeism and missed nursing care: the mediating role of emotional intelligence and occupational stress. BMC Nursing</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-03533-8

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?			√	
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Hussein L,A
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>The Relationship between Mental Workload and Job Performance among Nurses in Farouk Hospital, Sulaymaniyah</i>
Sumber	http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v15-i12/27178

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Benny Chandra
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>The Relationship of Experience, Motivation, and Workload to the Performance of Nurses in the Operating Room</i>
Sumber	http://ejurnal.poltekkes-tjk.ac.id/index.php/JK

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Herawati
Tahun Publikasi	2023
Judul	<i>The Effect of Workload, Supervisor and Coworker Supports on Job Performance through Job Satisfaction</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.56225/ijfeb.v2i1.168

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Maghsoud, F
Tahun Publikasi	2022
Judul	<i>Workload and quality of nursing care: the mediating role of implicit rationing of nursing care, job satisfaction and emotional exhaustion by using structural equations modeling approach</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01055-1

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Alzoubi, M. M.
Tahun Publikasi	2024
Judul	<i>Moderating role of relationships between workloads, job burnout, turnover intention, and healthcare quality among nurses.orkload and quality of nursing care: the mediating role of implicit rationing of nursing care, job satisfaction and emotional exhaustion by using structural equations modeling approach</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-01891-7

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Abed Al Ahad
Tahun Publikasi	2022
Judul	<i>Variability, shift-specific workloads and rationed care predictors of work satisfaction among nurses: A longitudinal study</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.1160

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 8/8

Persentase: 100%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Salsabila, A. A.
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>The Effect of Workload, Incentives, and Work Motivation on Nurse's Performance Mediated by Job Satisfaction. Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science,</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v6i3

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 6/8

Persentase: 75%

Kualitas: Tinggi

Reviewer	Rifki Ronawibawa
Tanggal	1/05/2026
Penulis	Lee, H.,
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>Exploration of Safety Culture, Second Victim Phenomenon and Negative Work Outcomes in Health Care Setting,</i>
Sumber	https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.13048

No	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicabel
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall Appraisal	Include√		Seek further info	

Overall appraisal: Include

Score: 8/8

Persentase: 100%

Kualitas: Tinggi