

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 SK Pembimbing

	
UNIVERSITAS GALUH FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN S1 KEPERAWATAN – S1 KEBIDANAN – PROFESI NERS – PROFESI BIDAN Kampus : Jalan. R.E. Murtadinata No. 150 Ciamis 46274 Nomor Kontak: 0821-1790-9060 website: fikes.unigal.ac.id / e-mail: fikes@unigal.ac.id	
SURAT KEPUTUSAN DEKAN FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH NOMOR : 66 /401/SK/AK/D/XI/2025 TENTANG PENGANGKATAN PEMBIMBING KARYA ILMIAH AKHIR NERS (KIAN) PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN PROFESI NERS TAHUN AKADEMIK 2025/2026 FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH	
DEKAN FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH	
MENIMBANG	: 1. Bahwa untuk kelancaran kegiatan Bimbingan Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners (KIAN) perlu diangkat pembimbing Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners (KIAN). 2. Bahwa untuk legalitas pembimbing dalam melakukan kegiatan bimbingan Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners perlu di terbitkan Surat Keputusan Dekan.
MENGINGAT	: 1. Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 tentang Pendidikan Tinggi; 1. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 4 Tahun 2014 tentang Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Tinggi dan Pengelolaan Pendidikan Tinggi; 2. Permendikbud nomor 3 Tahun 2020 tentang Standar Nasional Perguruan Tinggi; 3. Keputusan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 184/U/2001 tentang Pedoman Pengawasan, Pengendalian dan Pembinaan Program Diploma, Sarjana dan Pascasarjana di Perguruan Tinggi; 4. Surat Keputusan Yayasan Pendidikan Galuh Ciamis Nomor 1 Tahun 2025 tentang Statuta Universitas Galuh; 5. Peraturan Rektor Universitas Galuh Nomor 1 Tahun 2025 tentang Pedoman Akademik Universitas Galuh Tahun 2025; 6. Surat Keputusan Rektor Universitas Galuh Nomor : 262/4123/SK/G/VIII/2023 Tanggal 26 Agustus 2023 tentang Pemberhentian dan Pengangkatan Dekan Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh Masa Jabatan 2023-2027;
MEMPERHATIKAN	: Surat Ajuan dari Ketua Program Studi Ilmu Keperawatan Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh Nomor 86/1490/SP/AK/Ka.Prodi_Kep/XI/2025 perihal Pemohonan SK Pembimbing KIAN,
MENETAPKAN PERTAMA	MEMUTUSKAN : Nama : SISKA FATRIYA Nomor Pokok : 1490125070 Program Studi : Pendidikan Profesi Ners
KEDUA	: Mengangkat Pembimbing KIAN mahasiswa seperti yang tertulis pada diktum pertama sebagai berikut: Pembimbing I : Siti Rohimah, S.Kep., Ners., M.Kep. Pembimbing II : Gita Cahyani, S.Tr.Kep., Ners., M.Tr.Kep.
KETIGA	: Tugas dan wewenang pembimbing KIAN: a. Pembimbing KIAN ditugaskan untuk membimbing dan mengarahkan, dan memberikan masukan kepada mahasiswa dalam proses penulisan KIAN hingga selesai. b. Dosen Pembimbing wajib memastikan bahwa KIAN yang disusun sesuai dengan standar akademik yang berlaku di Program Studi Profesi Ners Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh c. Pembimbingan KIAN dilaksanakan secara berkala sesuai dengan ketentuan yang berlaku.
KEEMPAT	: Surat Keputusan ini berlaku sejak tanggal ditetapkan hingga mahasiswa menyelesaikan KIAN dan dinyatakan lulus sidang KIAN, dan apabila di kemudian hari ternyata terdapat kekeliruan dalam Surat Keputusan ini, akan dilakukan perbaikan sebagaimana mestinya.
Ditetapkan : Ciamis Pada Tanggal : 6 November 2025 Dekan  D. Triandono, S.Kep., Ners., MM., M.Kep. NIP. 11.3112770275	

Lampiran 2 Bukti Pencarian Artikel Dari 3 Database

The screenshot shows the PubMed search results page. The search query is "[Students, Nursing [MeSH Term] OR nursing student [Title/Abstract] OR". The results are sorted by best match. The first result is titled "Disaster response self-efficacy of nursing students: Perceived level and associated factors" by Hasan MB, Bener S, Haini F, Sagar MM, Potha ZA, Marul MSH, Taha ST, Roy P, Fahreddi M, Rahmawati S, Luthan N, Hussain F. The abstract states: "OBJECTIVES: The study aimed to assess the level of disaster response self-efficacy (DRSE) among nursing students in Bangladesh and examine the factors influencing their level of DRSE. ...Significant positive correlations were found between ...".

The screenshot shows the Scopus search results page. The search query is "nursing students" AND "self-efficacy" OR "confidence" AND "first aid" OR "training". The results are sorted by relevance. The first result is titled "Are nursing students ready to respond to disasters? A study on self-efficacy of nursing students to apply psychological first aid" by Nurhayati Kiki, Kumpohi S, Qian Huijia. The abstract states: "OBJECTIVES: The study aimed to assess the level of disaster response self-efficacy (DRSE) among nursing students in Bangladesh and examine the factors influencing their level of DRSE. ...Significant positive correlations were found between ...".

The screenshot shows the Garuda search results page. The search query is "nursing students" AND "self-efficacy". The results are sorted by relevance. The first result is titled "Evaluating the Effectiveness of a Nursing Self-Management Module in Enhancing Clinical Self-Competency Among Nursing Students: Pengaruh Nursing Self-Management Competency Module Terhadap Persepsi Self-Competency Mahasiswa Perawat" by Nurhayati Kiki, Kumpohi S, Qian Huijia. The abstract states: "OBJECTIVES: The study aimed to assess the level of disaster response self-efficacy (DRSE) among nursing students in Bangladesh and examine the factors influencing their level of DRSE. ...Significant positive correlations were found between ...".

Lampiran 3 JBI Appraisal Checklist Cross-Sectional dan RCT

Jurnal 1

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Simsek Arslan et al., 2024)
Tahun Publikasi	2024
Judul	<i>Determining the Relationship Between Senior Nursing Students' Psychological First Aid Application Self-Efficacy and Perceived Ability to Cope with Trauma</i>
Sumber	<i>Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Nursing Sciences</i>

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		

7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 2

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Pei et al., 2019b)
Tahun Publikasi	2019
Judul	Nursing students' knowledge, willingness, and attitudes toward the <i>first aid</i> behavior as bystanders in traffic accident trauma: A cross-sectional survey
Sumber	International Journal of Nursing Sciences

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and	√			

	reliable way?				
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 3

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	
Tahun Publikasi	2024
Judul	<i>Disaster Response Self-Efficacy of Students in the Nursing Department: A Cross-Sectional Study</i>
Sumber	Makara Journal of Health Research

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			

8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 4

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Bayageldi & Kaloğlu Binici, 2024b)
Tahun Publikasi	2024
Judul	Are nursing students ready to respond to disasters? A study on <i>self-efficacy</i> of nursing students to apply psychological <i>first aid</i>
Sumber	Nurse Education Today

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and	√			

	reliable way?				
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 5

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Damayanti et al., 2024)
Tahun Publikasi	2024
Judul	<i>Self-efficacy</i> Dan Motivasi Mahasiswa Ners Dalam Kesiapan Melakukan Pertolongan Pertama Pada Kecelakaan Lalu Lintas
Sumber	Jurnal Kesehatan Global

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and	√			

	reliable way?				
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 6

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Çınar Özbay et al., 2026)
Tahun Publikasi	2026
Judul	Predictive effect of psychological <i>first aid</i> practice <i>self-efficacy</i> on disaster response <i>self-efficacy</i> in nursing students: a cross-sectional study
Sumber	Journal of Research in Nursing

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and	√			

	reliable way?				
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 7

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Wulida Litaqia et al., 2026)
Tahun Publikasi	2026
Judul	<i>Self-Efficacy and Psychological Well-Being among First Year Nursing Students: A Cross-Sectional Study</i>
Sumber	Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Sandi Husada

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			

8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 8/8
 Persentase : 100%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 8

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Darmareja et al., 2025)
Tahun Publikasi	2025
Judul	<i>Self-efficacy</i> Correlated with Basic Life Support Skills: Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation of Nursing Student
Sumber	Jurnal Ners dan Kebidanan

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			

8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 9

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Hung et al., 2017)
Tahun Publikasi	2017
Judul	College students' knowledge and attitudes toward bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation: A cross sectional survey
Sumber	Cogent Medicine

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and	√			

	reliable way?				
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 6/8
 Persentase : 75%
 Kualitas : Sedang

Jurnal 10

Reviewer	Siska Fatriya
Tanggal	17 Mei 2026
Penulis	(Mao et al., 2021b)
Tahun Publikasi	2021
Judul	Knowledge, training and willingness to perform bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation among university students in Chongqing, China: a cross-sectional study
Sumber	Medical Education and Training

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Appl icable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?			√	
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			

7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			
	Overall appraisal:	Include √		Seek further info	

Score : 7/8
 Persentase : 87%
 Kualitas : Sedang