

LAMPIRAN LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1

Surat Keputusan Pembimbing



UNIVERSITAS GALUH
FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN
S1 KEPERAWATAN – S1 KEBIDANAN – PROFESI NERS – PROFESI BIDAN
Kampus : Jalan. R.E. Martadinata No. 150 Ciamis 46274 Nomor Kontak: 0821-1790-9060
website: fikes.unigal.ac.id / e-mail: fikes@unigal.ac.id

SURAT KEPUTUSAN
DEKAN FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH
NOMOR : 66 /401/SK/AK/D/XI/2025
TENTANG
PENGANGKATAN PEMBIMBING KARYA ILMIAH AKHIR NERS (KIAN)
PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN PROFESI NERS TAHUN AKADEMIK 2025/2026
FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH

DEKAN FAKULTAS ILMU KESEHATAN UNIVERSITAS GALUH

- MENIMBANG** : 1. Bahwa untuk kelancaran kegiatan Bimbingan Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners (KIAN) perlu diangkat pembimbing Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners (KIAN).
2. Bahwa untuk legalitas pembimbing dalam melakukan kegiatan bimbingan Karya Ilmiah Akhir Ners perlu di terbitkan Surat Keputusan Dekan.
- MENGINGAT** : 1. Undang-undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 tentang Pendidikan Tinggi;
2. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 4 Tahun 2014 tentang Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Tinggi dan Pengelolaan Pendidikan Tinggi;
3. Permendikbud nomor 3 Tahun 2020 tentang Standar Nasional Perguruan Tinggi;
4. Keputusan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 184/U/2001 tentang Pedoman Pengawasan, Pengendalian dan Pembinaan Program Diploma, Sarjana dan Pascasarjana di Perguruan Tinggi;
5. Surat Keputusan Yayasan Pendidikan Galuh Ciamis Nomor 1 Tahun 2025 tentang Statuta Universitas Galuh;
6. Peraturan Rektor Universitas Galuh Nomor 1 Tahun 2025 tentang Pedoman Akademik Universitas Galuh Tahun 2025;
7. Surat Keputusan Rektor Universitas Galuh Nomor : 262/4123/SK/G/VIII/2023 Tanggal 26 Agustus 2023 tentang Pemberhentian dan Pengangkatan Dekan Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh Masa Jabatan 2023-2027;
- MEMPERHATIKAN** : Surat Ajuan dari Ketua Program Studi Ilmu Keperawatan Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh Nomor 86/1490/SP/AK/Ka.Prodi_Kep/XI/2025 perihal Permohonan SK Pembimbing KIAN.
- MEMUTUSKAN**
- MENETAPKAN** :
PERTAMA : Nama : **DEVI QORIATUN SAFIAH**
Nomor Pokok : **1490125052**
Program Studi : Pendidikan Profesi Ners
- KEDUA** : Mengangkat Pembimbing KIAN mahasiswa seperti yang tertulis pada diktum pertama sebagai berikut:
Pembimbing I : **Dr. Tita Rohita, S.Kep., Ners., M.M., M.Kep.**
Pembimbing II : **Reni Hertini, S.Kep., Ners., M.Kep.**
- KETIGA** : Tugas dan wewenang pembimbing KIAN:
a. Pembimbing KIAN ditugaskan untuk membimbing dan mengarahkan, dan memberikan masukan kepada mahasiswa dalam proses penulisan KIAN hingga selesai.
b. Dosen Pembimbing wajib memastikan bahwa KIAN yang disusun sesuai dengan standar akademik yang berlaku di Program Studi Profesi Ners Fakultas Ilmu Kesehatan Universitas Galuh
c. Pembimbingan KIAN dilaksanakan secara berkala sesuai dengan ketentuan yang berlaku.
- KEEMPAT** : Surat Keputusan ini berlaku sejak tanggal ditetapkan hingga mahasiswa menyelesaikan KIAN dan dinyatakan lulus sidang KIAN, dan apabila di kemudian hari ternyata terdapat kekeliruan dalam Surat Keputusan ini, akan dilakukan perbaikan sebagaimana mestinya.

Ditetapkan : Ciamis
Pada Tanggal : 6 November 2025



Dr. Tita Rohita, S.Kep., Ners., M.M., M.Kep.
NIDN: 11.3112770275

Lampiran 2

JBI Critical Appraisal

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Winarni et al., 2024) Tahun Publikasi : 2023
(Meilani et al., 2023)

Judul : *Social media and pornography access behavior among adolescents*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cohort Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Landry et al., 2017) Tahun Publikasi : 2017

Judul : *Social Media and Sexual Behavior Among Adolescents: Is there a link?*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population?	✓			
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur?	✓			
9.	Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored?			✓	
10.	Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized?			✓	
11.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/11

Persentase : 72,7%

Kualitas : Moderate quality (cukup baik)

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Yount et al., 2025) Tahun Publikasi : 2025

Judul : *Sexually explicit and violent media use among high school students in Vietnam: Gender-differentiated links with sexual misconduct victimization, perpetration, and health*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/8

Persentase : 100%

Kualitas : Sangat Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026
Author : (Fong et al., 2025) Tahun Publikasi : 2025
Judul : *Exploring patterns of online sexual experiences and associated factors in 7,901 high school students in Hong Kong: A latent class analysis*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/8

Persentase : 100%

Kualitas : Sangat Baik

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Gyane et al., 2025) Tahun Publikasi : 2025

Judul : *Association between social media use and adolescents' sexual behaviours: a crosssectional study among high school students in Drobo, Ghana*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/8

Persentase : 100%

Kualitas : Sangat Baik

JBIC Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Ramadani et al., 2024) Tahun Publikasi : 2024

Judul : *Social media use, knowledge, attitudes, and risky sexual behavior of HIV transmission: A survey among boarding school adolescent students in Indonesia*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/8

Persentase : 100%

Kualitas : Sangat Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Menezes et al., 2026) Tahun Publikasi : 2026

Judul : *Patterns of internet use, risky online behaviors, and protective practices among Indian adolescents: evidence from a school-based study*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8/8

Persentase : 100 %

Kualitas : Sangat Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Stevens et al., 2022) Tahun Publikasi : 2022

Judul : *On sex, drugs, and alcohol: a mixed-method analysis of youth posts on social media in the United States*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
9	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
10.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
11.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
12.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
13.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
14.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
15.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
16.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Whelan, 2026) Tahun Publikasi : 2026

Judul : *On the associations between adolescent social media use and health outcomes: An exploratory specification curve analysis and comparison with other concurrent predictors*

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	✓			
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 8

Persentase : 100%

Kualitas : Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Irma Misbahul Jannah Tahun Publikasi : 2026
et al., 2026)

Judul : Hubungan Paparan Pornografi Media Sosial Dengan Perilaku Seksual Berisiko Remaja

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Prihatin Idris et al., Tahun Publikasi : 2023
2023)

Judul : Hubungan peran media sosial dengan Perilaku Seks Pranikah
Remaja Desa di Kabupaten
Banggai Laut Provinsi Sulawesi Tengah tahun 2022

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Devy Lestari Nurul Tahun Publikasi : 2021
Aulia & Fitriyana,
2021)

Judul : Penggunaan Media Sosial Dengan Perilaku Seksual Remaja

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Mahendra et al., 2025) Tahun Publikasi : 2025

Judul : Hubungan Penggunaan Social Media Dengan Perilaku Seksual Berisiko Pada Remaja
The

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBICritical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Aeni, 2024) Tahun Publikasi : 2024

Judul : Hubungan Penggunaan Media Sosial dengan Perilaku Seksual Remaja

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik

JBI Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Secional Design

Reviwer : Devi Qoriatun Safiah Tanggal : 13/05/2026

Author : (Winarti, 2019) Tahun Publikasi : 2019

Judul : Hubungan Paparan Media Sosial (Instagram) Dengan Perilaku Seks Bebas Pada Remaja Di Sma Negeri 5 Samarinda

No.	Criteria	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	✓			
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	✓			
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	✓			
5.	Were confounding factors identified?	✓			
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		✓		
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	✓			
8.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	✓			

Overall appraisal : Include

Skor : 7/8

Persentase : 87,5%

Kualitas : Baik