



SCIENTIFIC & EDITORIAL BOARD

- Abubakar Yaro, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Scotland (GBR)
- Andoniana Rakoto Malala, *Centre de Formation et d'Application du Machinisme Agricole* - CFAMA (MDG)
- Aris Winaya, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Bayu Prabowo, Renewable Energy Development Research, Research and Technology Center – PT Pertamina, Jakarta (IDN)
- Budi Siswanto, Merdeka University of Madiun and *Rumah Paper Kita* (Our House of Papers) RP Editing & Proofreading Servives (IDN)
- David Hermawan, Department of Fisheries – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Erkata Yandri, Graduate School of Renewable Energy - Darma Persada University, Jakarta (IDN)
- Haryo Wibowo, Institute for Thermal Power Engineering (ITPE) – Zhejiang University of China (CHN)
- Henik Sukorini, Department of Agrotechnology – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Jumpen Onthong, Department of Earth Science – Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla (THA)
- Juris Burlakovs Department of Water Management, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu (EST)
- Ima Yudha Perwira, Department of Aquatic Resources Management– University of Udayana, Bali (IDN)
- Lili Zalizar, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Listiari Hendraningsih, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Maizirwan Mel, Dept. of Biotechnology Engineering – International Islamic University Malaysia, (MYS)
- Marlina Achmad, Department of Fisheries – University of Hasanuddin, Makassar (IDN)
- Muhammad Danang Birowosuto, CNRS International NTU Thales Research Alliance – Nanyang Technological University (SGP)
- Mohammad Syaifudin Zuhri, Merdeka University of Madiun and RP Editing & Proofreading Servives (IDN)
- Mulyoto Pangestu, Department Obstetrics and Gynaecology - Monash University (AUS)
- Nugroho Triwaskito, Department of Forestry - University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Olga Anne, Department of the Natural Sciences of Maritime Engineering, Klaipeda University (LTU)
- Peeyush Soni, Dept. of Agriculture and Food Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur (IND)
- Praptiningsih Gamawati Adinurani, Department of Agrotechnology – Merdeka University of Madiun and RP Editing & Proofreading Servives (IDN)
- Rangga Kala Mahaswa, Universitas Gadjah Mada and RP Editing & Proofreading Servives (IDN)
- Roy Hendroko Setyobudi, Department of Agriculture Science, Postgraduate Program, University of Muhammadiyah Malang and RP Editing & Proofreading Servives (IDN)
- Tsitsino Turkadze, Dept. of Chemical and Environmental Technologies, Akaki Tsereteli State University (GEO)
- Warkoyo, Department of Food Science & Technology - University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Yahya Jani, Department of Built Environment and Energy Technology, Linnaeus University (SWE)
- Zane Vincevica-Gaile, Department of Environmental Science, University of Latvia (LVA)

Подтверждение рецензирования

Представляя материалы конференции в Web of Conferences, редакторы сборника трудов подтверждают издателю, что

1. Они придерживаются его **Политики в отношении добросовестности публикаций**, чтобы гарантировать добросовестную научную практику в издательской деятельности.
2. Все статьи проходят экспертную оценку, проводимую редакторами сборника.
3. Рецензирование проводилось экспертами-рецензентами, которым было предложено представить непредвзятые и конструктивные комментарии, направленные, по возможности, на улучшение работы.
4. Редакторы трудов приняли все разумные меры для обеспечения качества публикуемых материалов, и их решение о принятии или отклонении статьи для публикации основывалось только на достоинствах работы и ее значимости для журнала.

Topical Issues of Rational Use of Natural Resource, 2020, Санкт-Петербургский Горный университет,
Санкт-Петербург, Россия

Главный редактор сборника трудов:
Литвиненко Владимир Стефанович

17, Авеню дю Хоггар - BP 112 - 91944 Les Ulis Cedex A
- Франция

Tél :- E-mail : solange.guehot@edpsciences.org
www.webofconferences.org

Statement of Peer review

In submitting conference proceedings to *Web of Conferences*, the editors of the proceedings certify to the Publisher that

1. They adhere to its **Policy on Publishing Integrity** in order to safeguard good scientific practice in publishing.
2. All articles have been subjected to peer review administered by the proceedings editors.
3. Reviews have been conducted by expert referees, who have been requested to provide unbiased and constructive comments aimed, whenever possible, at improving the work.
4. Proceedings editors have taken all reasonable steps to ensure the quality of the materials they publish and their decision to accept or reject a paper for publication has been based only on the merits of the work and the relevance to the journal.

Topical Issues of Rational Use of Natural Resource, 2020, Saint Petersburg Mining university,
Saint Petersburg, Russia

Editor-in-Chief of the Proceedings:
Livinenko Vladimir Stefanovich

17, avenue du Hoggar- BP 112 - 91944 Les Ulis Cedex A -
France

Tél :- E-mail : solange.guehot@edpsciences.org
www.webofconferences.org

Determinants of Technical In-efficiencies in Swamp Rice Farming - Ciamis District, Indonesia

Agus Yuniawan Isyanto*, Sudrajat Sudrajat, and Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf

Agribusiness Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Galuh,
Jl. R.E. Martadinata No.150, Ciamis 46274, Indonesia

Abstract. Rice farming in swamps, a marginal land, has a relatively high risk related to the level of technical efficiency. This research was conducted with the aim of identifying the level of technical efficiency and the influence factors of technical inefficiency in Lakkok Subdistrict, Ciamis District, Indonesia. Simple random sampling was used with a sample size of 41 farmers. The analysis was carried out using a stochastic frontier function. The results showed that the average level of technical efficiency was 0.78. Education and family size have a significant effect on technical inefficiency.

Keywords: Farmer, marginal land, stochastic frontier

1 Introduction

Swamp rice grows in marginal land that has a relatively high risk related to the level of technical efficiency [1]. This farming is carried out in the dry season where water needs depend on rainfall [2]. Swamp rice farming usually faces serious challenges during the rainy season [3].

The main problem in the management of swamp rice farming is the occurrence of floods that inhibit plant growth and production. Farmers in swamp rice often have difficulty in predicting flood levels, so they face the risk of flooded rice plants in the vegetative growth phase [4]. Weather and climate have a direct influence on agricultural production so that weather fluctuations and climate variability play an important role in growth and yields [5].

The crucial problem of rice farming in Indonesia is the low efficiency and productivity thus the production is uncompetitive compared to other rices. Increased rice production can be done through existing technology [6]. Technical efficiency compares the level of output in relation to the level of input used [7].

Lack of skilled farmers in managing the system properly causes inefficient agricultural management leads to reduced yields and increased waste [8]. The low yield is due to several factors including agro-climatological problems and high input costs [9]. Constraints in increasing crop yields can be related to inefficient agricultural management even though inputs are used intensively [10].

The ability to allocate factors of production will affect production and the level of efficiency. The non optimal production indicates the existence of technical inefficiencies [11].

This research was conducted with the aim of identifying the level of technical efficiency and the factors that influence technical inefficiency in swamp rice farming in Lakkok Subdistrict, Ciamis District, Indonesia.

* Corresponding author: gusyun69@gmail.com

2 Methods

The research was conducted in Lakbok Subdistrict, the only sub district that has swamp landswampland farming. The research was carried out for 3 mo in planting the first season.

The sample size was 41 farmers using simple random sampling. The study utilized stochastic production frontier which builds hypothesized efficiency determinants into the inefficiency error components. The model is defined by Equation (1):

$$\ln Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln X_1 + \beta_2 \ln X_2 + \beta_3 \ln X_3 + \beta_4 \ln X_4 + \beta_5 \ln X_5 + v_i - u_i \quad (1)$$

Where:

Y = output (kg)

X1 = seed (kg)

X2 = Organic fertilizer (kg)

X3 = chemical fertilizer (kg)

X4 = Pesticide (liter)

X5 = labor (man-day)

β = coefficient of regression

v_i = random error

u_i = technical inefficiency effects in the model.

Technical efficiency (TE) effects model developed by Battese and Coelli was employed in this study. In this model a Cobb-Douglas production function and some exogenous factors influencing technical efficiency are determined simultaneously.

Technical efficiency in the context of production relates to the level at which a farmer produces maximum feasible output from a given set of inputs (output-oriented measure), or uses a minimum level of input feasible to produce a certain level of output (a size-oriented input) [12].

Inefficiency model was defined to estimate the influence of some farmer's socio-economic variables on the technical efficiency of the farmers. Technical inefficiency effects are assumed to be distributed independently [13, 14]. The model was defined by Equation (2):

$$\mu_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Z_1 + \delta_2 Z_2 + \delta_3 Z_3 + \delta_4 Z_4 \quad (2)$$

Where:

μ_i = technical inefficiency

Z1 = age (years)

Z2 = education (years)

Z3 = experience (years)

Z4 = family size (persons)

δ = regression coefficient.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Technical efficiency

The level of technical efficiency achieved by rice farmers in swamps ranged from 0.53 to 1.00 with an average of 0.778 as presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Frequency distribution of technical efficiency

Technical Efficiency	Frequency	Percentage
0.51 to 0.60	5	12.20
0.61 to 0.70	6	14.63
0.71 to 0.80	9	21.95
0.81 to 0.90	11	26.83
0.91 to 1.00	10	24.39
minimum = 0.53; maximum = 1.00; mean = 0.78		

Table 1 showed that the average level of technical efficiency achieved was 0.78, which indicates that swamp rice farming was technically efficient. This efficiency index value implied a technical inefficiency gap of 0.22 which indicates that 22 % of higher production can be achieved by farmers without using additional resources, or the use of inputs can be reduced to achieve the same level of output. The technical efficiency will be considered as efficient if it reaches an efficiency index value of more than 0.70 [15]. The difference in the level of technical efficiency achieved by farmers shows the degree of differentiation in the application of technology [16].

3.2 The stochastic frontier production functions analysis

Analysis of factors affecting production and technical inefficiencies was carried out using the stochastic frontier production function as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Maximum likelihood estimates and inefficiency functions

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-ratio
Production function			
Constant	3.106 7	0.651 8	4.766 6
Seed	-0.066 8	0.240 8	-0.277 4
Organic fertilizer	-0.001 3	0.013 3	-0.100 8
Chemical fertilizer	-0.045 4	0.165 1	-0.275 3
Pesticide	-0.099 4	0.091 6	-1.085 8
Labor	1.353 1	0.438 8	3.083 9*
Inefficiency function			
Constant	-0.209 2	0.068 0	3.075 6
Age	-4.868 0	0.447 1	0.108 9
Education	0.308 8	0.011 4	27.077 8*
Experience	-0.119 4	0.328 0	-0.364 1
Family size	-0.008 5	0.001 4	-5.985 7*
Sigma squared	-0.056 5	0.003 4	16.376 8
Gamma	0.999 9	0.002 4	425.254 4
Log likelihood function	18.2348		
LR Test	12.8942		

*significant at 1 % ($p > 0.01$)

The estimated value of the gamma parameter (γ) of 0.999 9 is statistically different from zero. This indicated that 99.99 % of the variation in the level of output in swamp rice farming is caused by technical inefficiencies in the use of inputs. The model used in this

study is a linear log equation hence the value of each regression coefficient shows the production elasticity of each input. The sum of all regression coefficients is more than one (1.13) which indicates increasing returns to scale.

Table 2 showed only the labor force that has a positive and significant effect on production in swamp rice farming. The results of this study were in line with the other research [1, 17].

3.3 Technical inefficiency

Table 2 showed that education has a positive and significant effect on technical inefficiencies, which shows that improving education will reduce technical efficiency. Family size has a negative and significant effect on technical inefficiency which indicates that increasing family size will increase technical efficiency. The results of this study are in line with the results of another research [18].

Age has a negative but not significant effect on the level of technical inefficiency which indicates that the older the farmer, the more technically efficient. The results of this study are consistent with other findings [19].

Education has a positive and significant effect on technical inefficiency which shows that the more educated farmers, the lower the technical efficiency. The results of this study are in line with other findings [20].

The experience of farmers in swamp rice farming has a negative but not significant effect on the level of technical inefficiency. This shows that the more experienced farmers in carrying out swamp rice farming will increase their technical efficiency. The results of this study are consistent with other findings [21].

Family size has a negative but not significant effect on the level of technical inefficiency. This shows that the more family size will increase the technical efficiency. More family size means more workers are available to carry out rice farming activities in swamps in a timely manner thus the production process becomes more efficient [6]. Farmers who have large family sizes tend to try their best to get higher yields to meet the needs of their families. In addition, large family sizes have the workforce needed to implement agricultural management decisions [22].

4 Conclusion

The level of technical efficiency of swamp rice farming ranged from 0.53 to 1.00 with an average of 0.778 which indicated that swamp rice farming has reached a level of technical efficiency. Labor has a significant effect on production, while seeds, organic fertilizers, chemical fertilizers and pesticides have no significant effect. Education and family size have a significant effect on technical inefficiency, while age and experience have no significant effect.

References

1. M. Zakirin, E. Yurisintae, N. Kusriani, J. Soc. Econ. Agric. **2**,1:75–84(2013).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.26418/j.sea.v2i1.5122>
2. I. Zahri, S. Adriani, E. Wildayana, Sabaruddin, M.U. Harun, Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. **24**,2:189–198(2018). <https://www.agrojournal.org/24/02-03.pdf>
3. K.J. Ani, G. Maxwell, C.S. Ecoma, Int. J. Adv. Academic Res. **3**,4:1–10(2017).
<https://www.ijaar.org/articles/Volume3-Number4/Arts-Humanities-Education/ijaar-ah-e-v3n3-m17-p4.pdf>

4. Gribaldi, R.A. Suwignyo, M. Hasmeda, R. Hayati, Agrivita **38**,1:64–72(2016).
<http://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v38i1.498>
5. A.V. Nkiene, N. Clement A, T. Paul, Canadian J. Trop. Geography. **3**,2:1–14(2016).
<https://www3.laurentian.ca/rcgt-cjtg/volume-3-issue-2/3011/?lang=en>.
6. J. Mariyono, Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi dan Organisasi **21**,1:35–43(2014).
[in Bahasa Indonesia]. <http://journal.ui.ac.id/index.php/jbb/article/view/4042>
7. M.M. Islam, D.C. Kalita, Int. J. Agric. Sci. **6**,2:938–948(2016).
<https://doi.org/10.46882/IJAS/1231>].
8. Nurliza, E. Dolorosa, A.H.A. Yusra. Agribis. **3**,2:85–92(2017).
<https://doi.org/10.18196/agr.3248>
9. O.M. Bamiro, J.O. Aloro. Scholarly J. Agri. Sci. **3**,1:31–37(2013).
<http://www.scholarly-journals.com/sjas/archive/2013/jan/pdf/Bamiro%20and%20Aloro.pdf>
10. B. Ayedun, A. Adeniyi, Acta Sci. Nutr. Health. **3**,7:86–94(2019).
<https://actascientific.com/ASNH/pdf/ASNH-03-0325.pdf>
11. T.S Azwar, T.I. Noor, Ernah, Mimbar Agribisnis, **5**,2:276–292(2019). [in Bahasa Indonesia]. <https://jurnal.unigal.ac.id/index.php/mimbaragribisnis/article/view/2264>
12. J.N Ugwu, G.O. Mbah, N. Chidiebere-Mark, T. Ashama, D.O. Ohajianya, M.O. Okwara, Current Res. Agric. Sci. **4**,1:1–6(2017).
<https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.68/2017.4.1/68.1.1.6>
13. M. Bala, M.N. Shamsudin, A. Radam, I.A. Latif. CSSPO International Conference 2018, Sarawak, Malaysia 2018. E3S Web of Conferences. **52**:00030(2018).
https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/pdf/2018/27/e3sconf_nrm2018_00030.pdf
14. M.F. Alam, M.A. Khan, A.S.M.A. Huq. Aquacult Int. **20**:619–634(2012).
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10499-011-9491-3>
15. H. Khotimah, R. Nurmalinga, Forum Agribisnis **2**,2:141–160(2012).[in Bahasa Indonesia]. <http://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/fagb/article/view/8882>
16. A.Y. Isyanto, M.I. Semaoen, N. Hanani, Syafrial, J. Econ. Sustain. Dev. **4**,10:100–104(2013). <https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEDS/article/view/6518>
17. D.L. Pudaka, Rusdarti and P.E. Prasetyo. J.Econ. Education. **7**,1:31–38(2018).
<https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/jeec/article/view/22799>
18. M. Mukwalikuli. WJRR. **6**,4:60–65(2018).
https://www.wjrr.org/download_data/WJRR0604025.pdf
19. Y. U. Oladimeji and Z. Abdulsalam, IOSR-JAVS. **3**,3:34–39(2013).
<https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-0333439>
20. B.S. Balde, H. Kobayashi, M. Nohmi, A. Ishida, M. Esham, E. Tolno. J. Agric. Sci. **6**,8:179–196(2014). <https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n8p179>
21. M.E.A. Begum, M.A.M. Miah, M.A. Rashid, M.I. Hossain. *Factors affecting the technical efficiency of turmeric farmers in the slash and burn areas of Bangladesh*. [Online] from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-018-0338-z>. (2011) . [Accessed on 20 September 2019].
22. U. Mukhtar, Z. Mohamed, M.N. Shamsuddin, J. Sharifuddin, M. Bala. E3S Web of Conferences **52**:00049 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20185200049>