



Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf

Prosiding Internasional November 2019.pdf

Sources Overview

13%

OVERALL SIMILARITY

1	Agus Yuniawan Isyanto, Sudrajat Sudrajat, Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf. "Determinants of Technical In-efficiencies in Swamp Rice Farming - Ciamis District, Indonesia", E3S Web of Conferences, 2021 CROSSREF	2%
2	www.ssbfnct.com INTERNET	2%
3	Zalizar, Lili, Rahmawati, Khusnul, Yaro, Abubakar. "Fasciolosis infection level of various breed cattle in Batu and Pujon district, East Java, Indonesia", 'EDP Sciences', 2021 INTERNET	2%
4	Danny Faturachman, Erkata Yandri, Endang Tri Pujiastuti, Olga Anne et al. "Techno-Economic Analysis of Photovoltaic Utilization for Lighting and Cooling System of Ferry Ro/Ro Ship 500 GT", E3S Web of Con... CROSSREF	2%
5	Yardha, E Wahyudi, A Wafi. "Analysis on Factors Affecting The Risk of Rice Farming Production in West Tanjung Jabung Regency", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021 CROSSREF	1%
6	onlinesciencepublishing.com INTERNET	<1%
7	www.tandfonline.com INTERNET	<1%
8	academicworks.cuny.edu INTERNET	<1%
9	stud.epsilon.slu.se INTERNET	<1%
10	"Climate Change, Hazards and Adaptation Options", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020 CROSSREF	<1%
11	journal.trunojoyo.ac.id INTERNET	<1%
12	www.mdpi.com INTERNET	<1%
13	N E Zakiah, D Fajriadi. "Hybrid-PjBL: Creative thinking skills and self-regulated learning of pre-service teachers", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 CROSSREF	<1%
14	repositori.upf.edu INTERNET	<1%
15	www.ipcc.ch INTERNET	<1%

Excluded search repositories:

None

Excluded from document:

Bibliography
Quotes
Citations
Small Matches (less than 8 words)

Excluded sources:

www.e3s-conferences.org, internet, 78%
Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf, Agus Yuniawan Isyanto, Sudrajat Sudrajat. "Factors that Influence Farmer's Behavior Towards Risk", E3S Web of Conferences, 2021, crossref, 70%
doaj.org, internet, 8%
www.sciencegate.app, internet, 8%
icon-beat.umm.ac.id, internet, 5%
Herry Susanto, Roy Hendroko Setyobudi, Didik Sugiyanto, Yefri Chan et al. "Design of Rotary Dryer for Sand Drying using Biomass Energy Sources", E3S Web of Conferences, 2021, crossref, 4%
Susanto, Herry, Setyobudi, Roy Hendroko et al. "Design of rotary dryer for sand drying using biomass energy sources", 'EDP Sciences', 2021, internet, 4%
repositori.untar.ac.id, internet, 2%
academic.oup.com, internet, 1%
Erkata Yandri. "Uniformity characteristic and calibration of simple low cost compact halogen solar simulator for indoor experiments", International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies, 2018, crossref, 0%
Erkata Yandri. "Dataset of the PV surface temperature distribution when generating electricity (PV-On) and without generating electricity (PV-Off) using Halogen Solar Simulator", Data in Brief, 2019, crossref, 0%



SCIENTIFIC & EDITORIAL BOARD

- Abubakar Yaro, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy & Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Scotland (GBR)
- Andoniana Rakoto Malala, *Centre de Formation et d'Application du Machinisme Agricole* - CFAMA (MDG)
- Aris Winaya, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Bayu Prabowo, Renewable Energy Development Research, Research and Technology Center – PT Pertamina, Jakarta (IDN)
- Budi Siswanto, Merdeka University of Madiun and *Rumah Paper Kita* (Our House of Papers) RP Editing & Proofreading Services (IDN)
- David Hermawan, Department of Fisheries – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Erkata Yandri, Graduate School of Renewable Energy - Darma Persada University, Jakarta (IDN)
- Haryo Wibowo, Institute for Thermal Power Engineering (ITPE) – Zhejiang University of China (CHN)
- Henik Sukorini, Department of Agrotechnology – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Jumpen Onthong, Department of Earth Science – Prince of Songkla University, Songkhla (THA)
- Juris Burlakovs Department of Water Management, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu (EST)
- Ima Yudha Perwira, Department of Aquatic Resources Management– University of Udayana, Bali (IDN)
- Lili Zalizar, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Listiari Hendraningsih, Department of Animal Science – University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Maizirwan Mel, Dept. of Biotechnology Engineering – International Islamic University Malaysia, (MYS)
- Marlina Achmad, Department of Fisheries – University of Hasanuddin, Makassar (IDN)
- Muhammad Danang Birowosuto, CNRS International NTU Thales Research Alliance – Nanyang Technological University (SGP)
- Mohammad Syaifudin Zuhri, Merdeka University of Madiun and RP Editing & Proofreading Services (IDN)
- Mulyoto Pangestu, Department Obstetrics and Gynaecology - Monash University (AUS)
- Nugroho Triwaskito, Department of Forestry - University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Olga Anne, Department of the Natural Sciences of Maritime Engineering, Klaipeda University (LTU)
- Peeyush Soni, Dept. of Agriculture and Food Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur (IND)
- Praptiningsih Gamawati Adinurani, Department of Agrotechnology – Merdeka University of Madiun and RP Editing & Proofreading Services (IDN)
- Rangga Kala Mahaswa, Universitas Gadjah Mada and RP Editing & Proofreading Services (IDN)
- Roy Hendroko Setyobudi, Department of Agriculture Science, Postgraduate Program, University of Muhammadiyah Malang and RP Editing & Proofreading Services (IDN)
- Tsitsino Turkadze, Dept. of Chemical and Environmental Technologies, Akaki Tsereteli State University (GEO)
- Warkoyo, Department of Food Science & Technology - University of Muhammadiyah Malang (IDN)
- Yahya Jani, Department of Built Environment and Energy Technology, Linnaeus University (SWE)
- Zane Vincevica-Gaile, Department of Environmental Science, University of Latvia (LVA)

<p>Подтверждение рецензирования</p> <p>Представляя материалы конференции в Web of Conferences, редакторы сборника трудов подтверждают издателю, что</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Они придерживаются его Политики в отношении добросовестности публикаций, чтобы гарантировать добросовестную научную практику в издательской деятельности. 2. Все статьи проходят экспертную оценку, проводимую редакторами сборника. 3. Рецензирование проводилось экспертами-рецензентами, которым было предложено представить непредвзятые и конструктивные комментарии, направленные, по возможности, на улучшение работы. 4. Редакторы трудов приняли все разумные меры для обеспечения качества публикуемых материалов, и их решение о принятии или отклонении статьи для публикации основывалось только на достоинствах работы и ее значимости для журнала. <p>Topical Issues of Rational Use of Natural Resource, 2020, Санкт-Петербургский Горный университет, Санкт-Петербург, Россия</p>	<p>Statement of Peer review</p> <p>In submitting conference proceedings to <i>Web of Conferences</i>, the editors of the proceedings certify to the Publisher that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They adhere to its Policy on Publishing Integrity in order to safeguard good scientific practice in publishing. 2. All articles have been subjected to peer review administered by the proceedings editors. 3. Reviews have been conducted by expert referees, who have been requested to provide unbiased and constructive comments aimed, whenever possible, at improving the work. 4. Proceedings editors have taken all reasonable steps to ensure the quality of the materials they publish and their decision to accept or reject a paper for publication has been based only on the merits of the work and the relevance to the journal. <p>Topical Issues of Rational Use of Natural Resource, 2020, Saint Petersburg Mining university, Saint Petersburg, Russia</p>
<p>Главный редактор сборника трудов: Литвиненко Владимир Стефанович</p>	<p>Editor-in-Chief of the Proceedings: Livinenko Vladimir Stefanovich</p>
<p>17, Avenue du Hoggar - BP 112 - 91944 Les Ulis Cedex A - Франция Tél :- E-mail : solange.guehot@edpsciences.org www.webofconferences.org</p>	<p>17, avenue du Hoggar- BP 112 - 91944 Les Ulis Cedex A - France Tél : - E-mail : solange.guehot@edpsciences.org www.webofconferences.org</p>

Factors that Influence Farmer's Behavior Towards Risk

Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf*, Agus Yuniawan Isyanto, and Sudradjat Sudradjat

Department of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, Galuh University, Jl. R. E. Martadinata No.150, Ciamis 46274, Indonesia

Abstract. The research was carried out with the aim to find out the behavior of farmers towards risk and the factors that influence it. The research sample was 100 paddy farmers in flood-prone area paddy fields in Pangandaran District, West Java Province, Indonesia. Farmer's behavior towards risk was analyzed using quadratic utility functions, while the factors that influence farmer's behavior towards risk were analyzed using logistic regression. The results showed farmers 87 was risk neutral, while 13 farmer risk takers were farmers. Education, familys size and income significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk; while age, experience, land area, production risk, price risk, income risk and group did not significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk.

Keywords: Land area, logistic regression, risk taker, quadratic utility.

1 Introduction

The impact of global climate change has an effect on paddy production in Indonesia in the form of flooding which results in crop failure or a decrease in paddy production [1]. Climate change has occurred in Indonesia with indications of an increase in temperature and changes in rainfall patterns. Agriculture is very vulnerable to the effects of climate change with an indication of the high level of danger in decreasing paddy production as a result of increasing temperatures and changes in rainfall patterns. The average decline in paddy production is 1.37 % yr⁻¹ which has the potential to cause a decline in national food production [2].

The threat of flooding in paddy fields can lead to reduced harvest area and paddy production [3]. Production is related to the nature of farming which is always dependent on nature supported by risk factors [4]. The risk of failure in farming comes from the use of new technology, prices of agricultural production, capital, government policies and individual behavior of farmers in dealing with outsiders [5], as well as climate change and weather that are not in accordance with crop needs [6]. The main risks farming include flooding [7].

Farmer's behavior towards risk consists of risk averter, risk neutral, and risk taker. Farmer behavior is the basis of farmers' decision making in carrying out their farming [1]. Farmer's behavior towards risk has an important role in influencing the productivity of agricultural products which has an impact on production efficiency [8]. Factors that

* Corresponding author: muhamadnurdinyusuf@gmail.com

influence farmer behavior towards risk are the area of planting, age, education, experience, family size, income and productivity risk [8].

2 Research methodology

Amount ten villages in Padaherang and Kalipucang Subdistricts in Pangandaran District were taken purposively as a sample area with consideration of being areas that have flood-prone rice fields. From each village a sample of ten farmers was taken, so that the total number of samples was 100 farmers.

Farmer's behavior towards farming risk was analyzed using the quadratic utility Equation (1):

$$U = \tau_1 + \tau_2 M + \tau_3 M^2 \quad (1)$$

Where:

U : utility for expected income (in util)

τ_1 : intercept

M : expected income at the balance point (rupiah value from certainty equivalent (CE))

τ_2 : indifference income coefficient (CE)

τ_3 : farmer risk coefficient

The risk preference coefficient shows the farmer's attitude to risk, namely:

$\tau_3 = 0$: Risk neutral

$\tau_3 < 0$: Risk averse

$\tau_3 > 0$: Risk taker

The factors that influence farmers' behavior towards risk are analyzed using ordinal logit regression with the following Equation (2):

$$P_i = F(Y_i) = F(\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + \beta_7 X_7 + \beta_8 X_8 + \beta_9 X_9 + \beta_{10} D) \quad (2)$$

Y_i values are calculated using the following Equation (3):

$$Y_i = \text{Log} [P_i / (1 - P_i)] = (\alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + \beta_7 X_7 + \beta_8 X_8 + \beta_9 X_9 + \beta_{10} D + e) \quad (3)$$

Where:

Y_i = Opportunities for farmers to make decisions, where:

Y_1 = 1 for the farmer who are risk averse

Y_2 = 2 for the farmer who are risk neutral

Y_3 = 3 for the farmer who are risk taker

α = Intercept

β_i = Parameter regression coefficient (i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10)

X_1 = Age (year)

X_2 = Education (year)

X_3 = Family size (person)

X_4 = Experience (year)

X_5 = Land area (ha)

X_6 = Farm income (IDR)

X_7 = Production risk

X_8 = Price risk

X_9 = Income risk

D = Membership in groups (1 if being a member of a group, 0 if not)

e = error term

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Farmer's behavior towards risk

Farmer's behavior towards risk in paddy farming in flood-prone paddy fields can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Farmer's behavior towards risk

Farmer's behavior towards risk	Number of people	Percentage
Risk Neutral	87	87.00
Risk Taker	13	13.00
Total	100	100.00

Table 1 shows that most farmers (87 %) are risk neutral, while the rest (13 %) are risk takers. There are no risk averse farmers. According to [9], the absence of risk averse farmers show that there are no farmers who are willing to sacrifice their income or potential income to reduce opportunities for loss or low income. According to [1], farmers will try to avoid failure and not get big profits by taking risks. Such behavior is called safety first, which is characteristic of most farmers.

Farmers who are risk neutral are farmers who have a rational attitude in facing risks [9]. Risk neutral farmers tend to cultivate based on hereditary habits. They only seek income that can meet their family's needs [10]. If there is additional capital for risk neutral farmers, then they might add input to get higher income [11]. The availability of capital for farmers is a risk factor that is considered to affect farmers to the farming they are doing [12].

Risk taker farmers are farmers who are willing to allocate and use their production factors to the maximum, even though there are risks that must be faced with the aim of obtaining optimal results. [8] states that conceptually farmers are able to reduce production risk and price risk by improving their productivity, the use of diversification, the use of appropriate cropping patterns, strengthening farmer institutions, and bargaining position of farmers can increase farmers' production and income [7].

3.2 Factors that influence farmer's behavior toward risk

The results of the analysis of factors that influence farmer behavior on risk in paddy farming in flood-prone paddy fields can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Factors that influence farmer's behavior towards risk

Variabel	B	Wald	Exp(B)
Age	0.788	0.020	2.199
Education	7.139	3.452**	1.260E3
Family size	4.983	4.303*	145.958
Experience	-0.149	0.003	0.861
Land area	0.687	0.279	1.988
Farm income	2.896	4.313*	18.103

(Continued on next page)

Table 2. Continued

Variabel	B	Wald	Exp(B)
Production risk	1.029	0.407	2.799
Price risk	-0.834	1.016	0.434
Income risk	-0.134	0.014	0.875
Group	1.795	0.946	6.020
Constant	-69.854	3.703**	0.000
Model Summary			
Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square	Chi-square
19.158	0.441	0.819	18.307*

*,** = significant at 5 %, 10 %

Table 2 shows that age is not significant influence farmers' behavior towards risk. This shows that the difference in age of farmers does not affect the behavior of farmers in dealing with risk. Education significantly influences farmer's behavior towards risk. The results of this study are in accordance with the results of research from [13]. The higher the level of education of farmers, the more courageous farmers face risks [5, 7]. According to [13], the level of education of farmers who are still low makes the main cause of the majority of farmers who choose safety first (zero risk) behavior in developing their farming.

Family size significantly influences farmer's behavior towards risk. The results of this study are in accordance with the results of research by [14]. According to [9], family size affects the outpouring of time that can be allocated for farming. According to [14], the more number of members of the farmer's family, the higher the capacity of the workforce owned by the farmer in facing the risk.

Experience does not significantly influence farmers' behavior towards risk. The longer the farmer's experience, the more careful it will be in carrying out farming so that it tends to be more neutral to risk. The results of this study are in accordance with the results of research from [7].

Land area does not significantly affect farmer's behavior to risk. The results of this study are consistent with the results of a study from [5] which shows that the addition or reduction of land area will not reduce risk aversion or that farmers are neutral towards risk.

Revenue significantly influences farmer's behavior towards risk. The greater the income the farmer receives from the farming that is carried out, the farmer will be more courageous in accepting the risk. The results of this study are in accordance with the results of research from [8, 14, 5]. According to [8], the higher the farm income received by farmers, the farmers will be more willing to accept the risk.

Production risk does not significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk. According to [7], the magnitude of the risk of production faced by farmers due to the uncertainty of results as a result of natural factors and income as a result of price fluctuations, causes farmers to tend to reject the possibility of accepting the risks and uncertainties of the business. According to [15], efforts to handle production risk can be done by implementing a diversification and agricultural insurance program.

Price risk does not significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk. According to [16], farmers' household attitudes in carrying out production activities can be seen from variations in prices as a measure of price risk. According to [17], the behavior of farmers who accept price risk is caused by the expectation of expected price reductions compared to the actual prices that make farmers continue to carry out farming as long as they provide benefits. According to [18], the behavior of farmers does not dare to risk due to fluctuations

in production and selling prices which will have an impact on farmers' income.

Income risk does not significantly influence farmer behavior towards risk. The higher the income risk faced by farmers, the more farmers will behave neutrally against risk. Membership in groups does not significantly influence farmers' behavior towards risk. According to [19], group membership is one of the efforts of farmers to reduce the risk of farming by cooperating with each other, exchanging information in managing their farming with fellow farmers.

4 Conclusion

Amount 87 farmers are risk neutral and 13 farmers are risk takers. Education, family size and income significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk; while age, experience, land area, production risk, price risk, income risk and group did not significantly influence farmer's behavior towards risk.

References

1. C. Gardebroek, M.D. Chavez, A.O. Lansink, *J. Agric. Econ.* **61**,1:60–75(2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2009.00222.x>
2. Ruminta, Handoko, T. Nurmala. *Jurnal Agro.* **5**,1:48–60(2018). [in Bahasa Indonesia].
https://journal.uinsgd.ac.id/index.php/ja/article/download/1607/pdf_16
3. Shahab. E. Saqib, Mokbul. M. Ahmad, Sanauallah. P, Irfan. A Rana, *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduc.* **18**:107–114(2016).
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Shahab_E_Saqib/publication/304305997_An_Empirical_Assessment_of_Farmers%27_Risk_Attitudes_in_Flood-Prone_Areas_of_Pakistan/links/5bf55cd2299bf1124fe32898/An-Empirical-Assessment-of-Farmers-Risk-Attitudes-in-Flood-Prone-Areas-of-Pakistan.pdf?origin=publication_detail
4. C. Gardebroek, *Eur. Rev. Agric. Econ.* **33**,4:485–510(2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbl029>
5. M.P. Lucas, I.M. Pabuayon., *Asian J. Agric. Dev.* **8**,2:61–77(2011).
https://tind-customer-agecon.s3.amazonaws.com/9fdd6f1e-7e97-4413-a5f2-ffb38a8fea0c?response-content-disposition=attachment%3B%20filename%2A%3DUTF-8%27%27AJAD_2011_8_2_5Lucas.pdf&response-content-type=application%2Fpdf&X-Amz-Algorithm=AWS4-HMAC-SHA256&X-Amz-Expires=86400&X-Amz-Credential=AKIAXL7W7Q3XHXDVQYS%2F20200908%2Feu-west-1%2Fs3%2Faws4_request&X-Amz-SignedHeaders=host&X-Amz-Date=20200908T165030Z&X-Amz-Signature=20ca172c04b02c087149aa1ba32cc86309bf574faede65f1910b98ade0e76e34
6. T. Tiedemann, U.L. Lohman, *J. Agric. Econ.* **64**,1:73–96(2012).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2012.00364.x>
7. J.N. Nmadu, G.P. Eze, A.J. Jirgi. *British J. Econ. Manag. Trade.* **2**,2:92–108(2012).
<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/article/download/30022/56339>
8. R. Darma, T.N.A. Rahmadanih, R. Amandaria. *Int. J. Agric. Syst.* **2**,1:77–89(2014).
<http://pasca.unhas.ac.id/ojs/index.php/jas/article/download/24/23>
9. E.D. Oruonye, *IJFSE.* **3**,4:113–117(2013).
[http://www.ijfse.com/uploadedfiles/IJFSEArchive/IJFSE2013/3\(4\)01.pdf](http://www.ijfse.com/uploadedfiles/IJFSEArchive/IJFSE2013/3(4)01.pdf)
10. M.C. Plaxedes, P. Mafongoya, *Clim. Risk Manag.* **16**:145–163(2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2017.02.001>

11. Y. Ferrianta, M.F. Makki, Suprijanto, Rifiana. *Int. J. Agric. Manag. Dev.* **5**,2:133–139(2014).
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272667859_Risk_Analysis_and_Strategy_of_Rice_Farmers_in_Swampland_in_the_Face_of_Climate_Change_Impact_Case_in_South_Kalimantan_Province-Indonesia/fulltext/57a79def08aee07544c1d003/Risk-Analysis-and-Strategy-of-Rice-Farmers-in-Swampland-in-the-Face-of-Climate-Change-Impact-Case-in-South-Kalimantan-Province-Indonesia.pdf?origin=publication_detail
12. A.D. Brauw, P. Eozenou. *J. Dev. Econ.* **111**:61–74(2014).
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Patrick_Eozenou/publication/266617635_Measuring_risk_attitudes_among_Mozambican_farmers/links/5435ad990cf2643ab9867e0d/Measuring-risk-attitudes-among-Mozambican-farmers.pdf?origin=publication_detail
13. C.A. Kalu, J.A. Mbanasor. *NAPReJ*, **1**,1:1–10(2016).
<https://econpapers.repec.org/scripts/redir.pf?u=https%3A%2F%2Fdoi.org%2F10.22004%252Fag.econ.292052;h=repec:ags:naprej:292052>
14. M.M. Haque, S. Bremer, S. Aziz, J.P. Sluijs. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **16**:43–58(2017).
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2016.12.002>
15. S. Dadzie, N. Kwesi, H. de Graft. *Int. J. Agric. For.* **2**,2:29–37(2012).
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Samuel_Dadzie/publication/241699647_Attitudes_Toward_Risk_and_Coping_Responses_The_Case_of_Food_Crop_Farmers_at_Agona_Duakwa_in_Agona_East_District_of_Ghana/links/0046351caa436af152000000/Attitudes-Toward-Risk-and-Coping-Responses-The-Case-of-Food-Crop-Farmers-at-Agona-Duakwa-in-Agona-East-District-of-Ghana.pdf?origin=publication_detail
16. S.R. Fajri, E. Fauziyah. *J. Hort. Indonesia*, **9**,3:188–196(2018). [in Bahasa Indonesia]
<https://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/jhi/article/download/26496/17128/>
17. C. Mulwa, P. Marennya, D.B. Rahut, M. Kassie. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **16**:208–221(2017).
<https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S2212096317300062?token=8C6D3F91A4BEF28A0A8335A476A7B6B75EB4D84ED7742D9C358F0465D68675FD002D8C4A371BC054A01FAF3CD18289A8>
18. A. Ali, O. Erenstein. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **16**:1–12(2017).
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Olaf_Erenstein/publication/311879490_Assessing_farmer_use_of_climate_change_adaptation_practices_and_impacts_on_food_security_and_poverty_in_Pakistan/links/58b71affaca27261e51a4134/Assessing-farmer-use-of-climate-change-adaptation-practices-and-impacts-on-food-security-and-poverty-in-Pakistan.pdf?origin=publication_detail
19. A. Tripathi, A.K. Mishra. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **16**:1–37(2017).
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/310815962_Knowledge_And_Passive_Adaptation_to_Climate_Change_an_Example_From_Indian_Farmers/fulltext/583a4d6308ae3a74b49ea7e4/Knowledge-And-Passive-Adaptation-to-Climate-Change-an-Example-From-Indian-Farmers.pdf?origin=publication_detail



CERTIFICATE

No:E.2.g/1280/FPP-UMM/XI/2019



We hereby confirm that

Dr. Muhamad Nurdin Yusuf, MP

Gave the following oral presentation

Factors That Influence Farmers Behavior Towards Risk

at the
**International Conference on Bioenergy & Environmentally
Sustainable Agriculture Technology**

November 7th-8th, 2019

University of Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia



Dr. David Hermawan
Dean of The Faculty of Agriculture
and Animal Science



Dr. Listari Hendraningsih
Conference Chairman

Co-hosting Partners :

