

# Transformational Leadership, Energizing Organizational Learning process, and Organizational Performance at Embroidery MSME in District Tasikmalaya

# Muhammad Nurdin Yusuf<sup>1</sup>, Aneu Yulianeu<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Galuh University Ciamis, Indonesia
- <sup>2</sup> STMIK DCI Tasikmalaya, Indonesia

# Corresponding Author anjusu09@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

The article analyzed model of the impact of transformational leadership on the organizational learning process that energizes the organizational level, tested on 280 business owners and embroidery managers in Tasikmalaya. The researchers used quantitaive method. Questionnaires were sent to the owner and the manager of the Tasikmalaya Embroidery small-to medium-sized enterprises (MSME). The total 280 questionnaires were distributed with a return rate of 90%. There were 47 respondents with duplicate, incomplete, and invalid data because all answers were number 1, or respondents did not match the unit of analysis. The results showed that Transformational Leadership has an essential effect on the learning process in organizations in improving the performance of MSMEs. At the organizational level, the results of hierarchical linear modeling showed a positive relationship between transformational leadership and energizing organizational learning processes. At the organizational level, the regression analysis results showed that transformational leadership was positively related to an energizing organizational learning process, as measured by market-oriented criteria developed explicitly for the city and district of Tasikmalaya.

**Keywords**: Transformational Leadership, Organizational Learning Process, Organizational Performance

How to cite

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:* 

Received

August 14, 2021

Revised

July 14, 2022

Accepted

August 25, 2022

Yusuf, M., & Yulianeu, A., (2022). Transformational Leadership, Energizing Organizational Learning process, and Organizational Performance at Embroidery MSME in District Tasikmalaya. *Jurnal Iqra'*: *Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 7(1). 309-325

https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v7i1.1747

Journal Homepage http://journal.iaimnumetrolampung.ac.id/index.php/ji/

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Computer technology in MSMEs Embroidery has the potential to increase productivity and regional economic growth and generate higher incomes for MSME workers and market opportunities for the Tasikmalaya economy. As many as 23 million jobs could be displaced by technology in Indonesia by 2030, around 27 million and 46 million new jobs would be created in the same period, and 10 million new occupations would appear, in line with past trends, which results in innovations and new jobs (Das et al., 2019; Das & Pal, 2019). Tasikmalaya has succeeded in taking advantage of technological revolutions such as the embroidery industry, which provides job opportunities for the surrounding residents who are underemployed and

unemployed, by shifting homework techniques into factories such as Al Amin who collaborates with pesantren-based education and the business world by opening an embroidery factory with high technology. With a turnover of billions per month with a target of imports to countries in the Middle East. Haryati embroidery uses a homework team for hand embroidery with mukena prices ranging from 4-5 million for hand embroidery with a processing time of up to 1 month, and digital printing computer embroidery technology with a workforce of more than 20 people(Yulianeu et al., 2021).

According Alimin et al., (2018)Indonesia has experienced four significant changes in technology, politics, Leadership, and religion. With Digital technology, including digital printing, artificial intelligence, and the biotechnology revolution, business people need to be more creative and innovative because the super-fast technological disruption has changed what they are doing so far. Disruption of information technology or the digital revolution to business processes that have experienced a significant shiftto new forms, giving birth to a new economy characterized by high volatility, uncertainty, complexity, ambiguity, and paradoxes, abbreviated as VUCAP (Sari et al., 2020). The digital transformation era has inevitably made embroidery a convection company with a turnover of tens of millions of rupiah every month, even though it does not have a large factory, operational vehicles, and permanent office. Ajuma Clothing has also become convection with a turnover of millions of rupiah without having a sewing machine, cutting machine, or room for storing raw materials. This change is not yet widely understood by leaders still dominated by Generation X and baby boomers, while the millennial generation is currently still in the manager position. There needs to be an adaptive and collaborative attitude among them to enter the era of disruption, as has been done by Astra, BCA, Blue Bird, and BRI (Adiningsih, 2019). Leaders are more open in responding to significant changes in the business environment, namely the shift from product-basedto platform-based, with the mental attitude of being a human learner. Based on this, the leaders needed by MSMEs are leaders who can inspire or motivate employees to achieve common goals and who can protect and motivate their employees to develop their businesses to be more significant. Research conducted by Amodei et al., (2016)shows that transformational Leadership positively impacts the success of MSMEs. Given the many challenges facing MSMEs today, MSME leaders must mobilize all their capabilities and resources, especially in transformational Leadership, to survive and grow into a successful organization. Through transformational leaders in the era of digital technology, they can increase the quality and volume of trade, optimize capital use, encourage competition and new opportunities, and increase productivity (Storey et al., 2016).

Job involvement is a form of positive work feeling characterized by enthusiasm, dedication, and absorption. Enthusiasm is defined as a high level of energy, mental resilience, the desire to put in more effort at work, and persistence in the face of adversity. Dedication is more to involvement in work and has a sense of importance, inspiration, pride, and challenge. Lastly, absorption is a form of feeling happy, concentrating entirely on work, and finding it difficult to let go of work(Bakker et al., 2008). Work involvement is essential for an organization because employees with high work involvement would make the organization healthy. A healthy organization would undoubtedly affect the productivity and performance of its employees and reduce the tendency to leave work (Mendes & Stander, 2011). If the tendency to leave work decreases, the organization would be more positive.

Employees of any organization need to stay engaged because all positive results come from employee and organizational engagement (Xanthopoulou et al., 2007).

Individuals with high work involvement can have mental resilience in dealing with every problem, do not give up quickly, and have a sense of being part of the organization; such individuals would try their best to advance the organization. In a study conducted (Metin Camgoz et al., 2016), it was found that job involvement can be an essential mediator between the fear of losing one's job and the tendency to leave the organization. It can be concluded that individuals with high work involvement would make the organization more productive and can increase its Performance.

According to Dixon et al., (2019)there are four new phenomena are entering the world of industry 4.0, including Societal impact, Strategy, Technology, and Talent. To gain excellence in this era requires leaders who are willing and able to innovate and seek new business prospects, eliminating the fragmented nature of the organization that limits collaboration; it is difficult to communicate, share knowledge and innovate because silos make it difficult to find innovation and creativity that can be developed within the organization. According to Budihardjo et al., 2017)if the mentality of employees and leaders is still compartmentalized, then decision-making would be slow because it collides with formalities and hinders the process of organizational change and learning; employees should interact dynamically (dynamic capability) based onknowledge and learning (organizational learning) in order to flexibly accommodate the demands of change within and from outside the organization (Buckingham & Goodall, 2015).

# **Hypothesis:**

# The Effect of Transformational Leadership on Energizing organizational learning process

The development of human resources in MSMEs with a low level of education causes many embroidery entrepreneurs in Tasikmalaya to go out of business; the level of education of the leaders is also one of the causes of this happening, the lack of experience in business and the low desire to learn even though the government has facilitated it is one of the reasons for this. One factor that causes entrepreneurs to go out of business is the misconception that they only work for the time being, regardless of how their business can survive and be sustainable (Nofiar et al., 2022).

MSMEs, especially in Embroidery in Tasikmalaya, need a changeable, open-minded leader who can move people in organizational learning activities so that workers can grow autonomously, participate actively and encourage workers to develop intellectual capital through interactions between HC, structural and relational. Mason et al., (2008)use it to create, transfer, and apply knowledge and promote innovation. González-Loureiro & Dorrego, (2012)observed that human capital is the essential starting point for MSMEs to become innovative as the basis for company growth.

The purpose of EOL is to increase intellectual capital within the company by energizing organizational learning activities; EOLp can work if it has transformational leaders for the following reasons: First, transformational leaders have the potential to influence their employees' perceptions of the benefits of human capital. They have the most significant potential to increase these benefits by involving them in organizational learning processes, building organizational culture, and encouraging employee communication. Second, transformational leaders stimulate intelligence, provide understanding, get to know more closely, and provide personal consideration (Rafferty et al., 2013). As a result, leaders, and employees become closer (Burns & Groove, 2014). Third, leaders with idealized influence characteristics always have more time to engage

in risky work. Thus, leaders can have more confidence in their employees(Bass & Riggio, 2006). decision-making indirectly promotes innovative employee behavior(Conger & Kanungo, 1988). Refer to the description above; the proposed hypothesis is as follows:

H1: The better the transformational Leadership, the higher the energizing organizational learning process.

# The Effect of Energizing organizational learning process on organizational Performance

Blau, (1993)in defining the theory of human capital, knowledge, information, ideas, skills, and individual health are critical because individual health and welfare are related to human resource development. In the organizational context, the human capital theorywas adopted for several reasons. First, employees as company assets have different skills, whose values must be equated with each other so that the company has a high asset (resource) value to generate returnsfor the organization. Second, the organization must be stable in the midst of change because this is the foundation built for the organization's success(Organ & Moorman, 1993).

Employees become more engaged with their work when their leader can increase their optimism through a transformational leadership style. These results imply that personal resources and work engagement may be necessary for explaining transformational Leadership, given the strong positive relationship between work engagement and Performance(Mendes & Stander, 2011). Transformational Leadership occurs when the leader extends and enhances employee interests, generates awareness and acceptance of the group's goals and mission, and encourages employees to look beyond self-interest for the group's good(Bass & Riggio, 2006). Leaders who have the charisma to employees can inspire employees to feel their emotional needs are being met and stimulate employees.

Employees who are bound or not bound can be affected by three conditions: available resources, meaningful work, and psychological safety. Meaningful work means that if an employee can view his work positively and meaningfully for himself, he can develop all his potential (Wrzesniewski et al., 2013). In research conducted by Geldenhuys et al., (2018)employees who view their work as meaningful or meaningful feel bound and have a solid commitment to the company. Transformational Leadership fosters work involvement and would be strengthened if the individual views the work as meaningful to him (Yasin Ghadi et al., 2013). However, research conducted by May et al., (2004)found that an employee who feels bound and feels meaningful in his work is not influenced by the relationship with his boss or leader but rather by job development and the type of work that is suitable.

Energizing the learning process of an organization's or company's environment is carried out to build a power center as intellectual capital resulting from knowledge investment, whether intentionally or not because human capital affects the company's competence. Companies that invest with high human resources can increase the company's competitive advantage.

This is based on the perspective of business management experts that transformational leadership would have a positive impact in the form of inspiration and encouragement of other employees so that they can be more advanced in achieving company goals(Long et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2014).

So this kind of transformational leadership is a leadership style that can motivate and inspire followers to continue to work hard, innovate highly and be willing to make

changes that would grow the company's success in the future. So here, we need a leadership style that can be applied in a company or MSME business to get out of the safe zone for success. Providing transparency in transformational leadership would meet the needs of employees and eliminate concerns that may occur among owner-managers and employees. With transformational leadership followed by best practices and success stories, it would be easier for employees to understand the company's vision and mission. It is inconceivable that a company would merge where their existence would be threatened, for leaders who have this transformational style would undoubtedly move to motivate and inspire subordinates by communicating on TV and an honest and integrated way of working.

The purpose of energizing the organizational learning processis to build a power center as intellectual capital resulting from knowledge investment, either intentional or unintentional because human capital affects organizational competence (Fernandes, 2020). Organizations that invest in improving the quality of resources humans can achieve a competitive advantage. The results of this study also support the human capital theory(Ancok, 2002) which states that the competencies produced by organizations through the merger of company assets can increase competitiveness and Organizational Performance.

With the transformational leadership style in MSMEs later on, employees are believed to be able to work with high confidence employees feel comfortable with high commitment and have good faith in carrying out work and tasks even though it is outside of their usual duties. Daily work in a company environment such as SMEs with a transformational leadership style. Companies can create intimacy between fellow employees and superiors with the motivational principles given to subordinates and the impact of respect for superiors on efforts to improve the performance of MSMEs. Then, through this leadership transformation, the MSMEs environment would be robust because the leader's ability can transform MSMEs towards change and improvement and the achievement of the planned goals and is familiarized with stimulation in the form of inspirational motivation and, most importantly, paying attention to each employee so that a comfortable environment is created in the MSMEs environment. That is the basis that a transformational leadership style is needed in a business or company environment

This finding also shows that organizational competence is a mediator in analyzing the influence of human capital on organizational performance. Thus, investment in human resources must improve organizational competence to improve organizational performance(Shahzad et al., 2012). Based on this description, the hypotheses proposed in this study are as follows:

H2: The better the energizing organizational learning process, the higher the organizational Performance. Therefore, Based on the problems and hypotheses of separation between leadership and improving MSMEs organizational performance, this study seeks to see a leadership transformation model that can encourage employees and ultimately get MSMEs performance towards success. For this reason, this study was carried out by obtaining information from 280 business owners in the embryo field in the Tasikmalaya district. Through the questionnaire method, it is hoped that we can get the information needed.

#### **METHODS**

To get the data we needed, we distributed questionnaires to MSMEs business owners in the Tasikmalaya district with a total of 280 questionnaires, and about 90% of

the questionnaires were returned. Then we collected the questionnaire and analyzed it to get data in the form of opinions and answers from every business voter in the MSMEs in the Tasikmalaya district, and then we analyzed it(Burns & Groove, 2014). Our first analysis process double-checked each question, and then we designed a quantitative tabulation of the results. Then we want to develop open-ended questions, analyze the data, and visualize these results in the form of data tables. Then we interpret the results, which can provide an overview of what and how the answers from MSMEs owners in the Tasikmalaya district related to applications and leadership functions transformational and learning processes in MSMEs and improving MSMEs performance are the central core of this study.

Table 1. Respondent Data

	1							
1. Age of 2. Training			3. Business			4. Education Level		
Respo	ndent	Length	ı	Establishment Status				
35-	86(42%)	<5th	38(19%)	Inheritance	e	170(83%)	SD	8 (4%)
50								
51-	92(45%)	≥5th	167(81%)	From the		27(13%)	JUNIOR	40 (20%)
65				beginning			HIGH	
							SCHOOL	
<65	27(13%)			Purchased			SENIOR	107(52%)
				from another			HIGH	
				party			SCHOOL	
5. Ar	nual Incom	e	6. Inve	estor Fund		D3	35(17%)	
< 300	< 300 juta 14 (7%)		) Yes	19		(94%)		
300 -	300 - 1M 164 N		Not	13(		6%)	S1	10(5%)
		(80%)			`	,		` ,
1M -	2.5 M	27(13%	(o)				S2	5(2%)

Source: Processed data (2021)

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Descriptive Analysis**

The responses of the owners and owners as well as managers of Embroidery SMEs in the city and district of Tasikmalaya regarding energizing the organizational learning process, which is the variable mediator of transformational Leadership and organizational performance, and each dimension variable based on the index value of the research variable based on the index value formula:

Index value 
$$= \frac{((\%F1x1) + (\%F2x2) + \dots + (\%F5x5))}{5}$$

For example, the calculation of the harmonized function index with the formula (1) above is carried out in the frequency distribution table 4.4, where the distribution is obtained with the help of the SPSS version 16 program, which counts the number of respondents who gave the same score to the harmonized function indicator (Table 2).

Table 2: Frequency Distribution of Transformational Leadership Indicator Harmonized Function

caiculatio	on of the Ha	Calculation of the Harmonized Function Index Value									
Valid	Score	Frequency	Percent	Valid	Cumulative	Index					
				Percent	percent						
	1	10	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9					
	2	14	6.8	6.8	11.7	13.7					
	3	92	44.9	44.9	56.6	134.6					
	4	41	20.0	20.0	76.6	80.0					
	5	48	23.4	23.4	100	117.1					
	Total	205	100	100		350.2					
Harmoni	zed Functio	n Index is the	e total mul	Harmonized Function Index is the total multiplication of percent and							

Source: Processed data (2021)

The transformational leadership variable index value is presented in Table 3.

Table 3: the value of the transformational leadership variable index

Table 5, the value of the transformational reduction by variable mack								
Transformational	Percent	Percentage of Respondents					Total	Category
Leadership	1	2	3	4	5		F*S/5	
Indicator								
Individualized cor	nsideratio	n						
% F	3.9	14.1	40.5	23.9	17.6	100		
(% F)* Score	3.9	28.3	121.5	95.6	87.8	337.1	67.4	Tall
Intellectual Stimul	ation							
% F	3.9	17.1	31.2	23.4	24.4	100		
(% F) * Score	3.9	34.1	93.7	93.7	122.0	347.3	69.5	Tall
Inspirational Moti	vation							
% F	4.9	18.5	33.2	23.4	20.0	100		
(% F) * Score	4.9	37.1	99.5	93.7	100.0	335.1	67.0	Tall
Idealized Influence								
% F	3.4	18.5	33.2	23.4	20.0	100		
(% F) * Score	3.4	37.1	99.5	93.7	100.0	335.1	67.0	Tall

Source: Processed data (2021)

The results of the analysis of index numbers from 205 respondents show that transformational Leadership has an average of 67.9. Based on the five-box criteria ranging from 10 to 100, the results of this study indicate that transformational Leadership in embroidery SMEs is in the high category.

Depth description of transformational Leadership in anticipating integrated business is summarized from the answers (respondents' views) to open questions about Transformational Leadership(Samad, 2012). Several answers with similar or close meanings are combined into one narrative to represent empirical research findings.

The summary of respondents' views on integrated transformational Leadership is summarized from respondents' answers to open-ended questions (table 4).

Table 4: empirical findings on the Transformational leadership variable

	. empirical infunigs of the Transformational feathership variable
Indicator Harn	nonized Function
Index Value	Research Findings-Respondent Perceptions
67.9	- Leaders help employees work in achieving goals
	- Leaders are role models at work
	- Leaders look for other perspectives in solving problems
	- Leaders instill a sense of pride in employees while joining the
	organization
	- Leaders earn respect from employees
	- Leaders encourage employee creativity to seek new ideas that have
	not been thought of before
	- Leaders can solve problems from various perspectives
	- Leaders assist employees in developing their talents
	- Leaders encourage employees to use creativity in getting work done
	- Leaders motivate employees to work better
	- Leaders foster confidence in employees in doing work
	- Leaders provide confidence to employees that company goals would be achieved
	- Leaders arouse employee enthusiasm in doing work
	- Leaders treat employees as private individuals, not just as workgroup members.
	- Leaders are willing to listen to the difficulties and complaints
	experienced by employees.
	- The leader proposes self-development steps for employees in the
	organization.
	- Leaders treat employees as individuals with different needs,
	abilities, and aspirations.
	- Leaders listen to employee ideas.

Source: Processed data (2021)

The energizing organizational learning process index number is the average of the indicator numbers forming the energizing organizational learning process index, namely the goal-oriented learning process index value of 69.8; updating oriented interactive 69.6; and intra-team learning exchange 72.8 (Table 5).

Table 5: Frequency Distribution of Energizing Organizational Learning Process Harmonized Function Indicator

Indicators of	Percenta	Percentage of Respondents					Total	Category	
energizing	1	2	3	4	5		F*S/5		
organizational									
learning									
process									
Goal-oriented lea	Goal-oriented learning process								
% F	2.9	11.7	32.2	40	13.2	100			
(% F)* Score	2.9	23.4	96.6	160	65.9	348.8	69.8	Tall	

Updating-oriented interactive								
% F	10.7	7.3	32.2	22.9	26.8	100		
(% F)* Score	10.7	14.6	96.6	91.7	134.1	347.8	69.6	Tall
Intra-Team learn	Intra-Team learning exchange							
% F	2.4	13.2	23.9	39	21.5	100		
(% F)* Score	2.4	26.3	71.7	156.1	107.3	363.9	72.8	Tall
Average							70.7	Tall

Source: Processed data (2021)

Table 6: empirical findings on the Energizing Organizational Learning process variable

Indicator Harmonized	
Function	
Index Value	Research Findings-Respondent Perceptions
70.7	- The leader emphasizes various ideas or insights
	regarding Performance, including the
	failure/success of an action plan.
	- Leader emphasizes open learning (not dominating
	one another)
	- Leader emphasizes interaction to learn together
	- Leaders voluntarily integrate with employees
	- Leaders emphasize discussions that depart from
	the failures we have made to learn the causes and
	solutions.
	- Leaders emphasize discussions that depart from
	the success of our friends to be used as work
	guidelines.

Source: Processed data (2021)

The second highest score is the ability to initiate a goal-oriented learning process, with an average index (of 69.8). This means that organizational commitments successfully create people who learn continuously and express collective aspirations collectively and independent; the smallest index value is building voluntary knowledge exchange (update oriented interactive) with an average index (of 69.7) this means that even though the leader provides opportunities for learning quickly and accurately to the organization in improving its Performance, the target given is this cannot be done correctly, this is because, in embroidery SMEs, the Leadership does not provide direction and supervise planning until the process goes well(Hurduzeu, 2015).

A more in-depth description of the energizing organizational learning process is anticipating an integrated business is summarized from the answers (respondents' views) to the open question Energizing organizational learning process(McKenzie & Varney, 2018). Several answers with similar or close meanings are combined into one narrative to represent empirical research findings. A summary of respondents' views

on their ability to anticipate business in their respective integrated clusters is essential (Table 7).

# **Organizational Performance**

The organizational performance index score is the average of the organizational Performance- forming indicator index numbers, namely the profitability index value of 71; market growth of 57,6; product service innovation of 54.9; and a company reputation of 65.1 (Table 4.16).

Table 7: Frequency Distribution Organizational Performance Indicator Harmonized Function

Organizational	Percenta	ge of Re	sponder	nts		Total	Total	Category
performance	1	2	3	4	5		F*S/5	
indicators								
Profitability								
% F	7.8	19	13.7	29.3	30.2	100		
(% F)* Score	7.8	38	41	117.1	151.2	355.1	71	Tall
Market Growth								
% F	20	20	21	30.2	8.8	100		
(% F)* Score	20	40	62.9	121	43.9	287.8	57.6	Currently
Product-service	innovatio	n						
% F	22	16.6	29.3	29.3	2.9	100		
(% F)* Score	22	33.2	87.8	117.1	14.6	274.6	54.9	Currently
Company Repu	Company Reputation							
% F	10.2	12.2	33.7	29.8	14.1	100		
(% F)* Score	10.2	24.4	101	119	70.7	325.4	65.1	Tall
Average				·			62.2	Currently

Source: Processed data (2021)

The analysis of index numbers from 205 respondents showed that the organizational Performance of embroidery SMEs was in the high category with an average of 62.2. The indicator with the highest index number is profitability (71); this means that the essential thing in organizational Performance is profitability, namely the company's ability to generate profits (Zulkifli et al., 2020).

A more in-depth description of organizational Performance in anticipating integrated business is summarized from the answers (respondents' views) to open questions about organizational Performance.

A summary of the respondents' views on organizational Performance is presented in Table 8.

Table 8: Empirical findings on the variable *Organizational Performance* 

Indicator	Harmonized	-
function		
Index Value		Research Findings-Respondent Perceptions
62.2		- The company's return on assets is better than similar companies
		- The company's return on equity is better than similar companies

- Management and staff are always involved
together in evaluating market growth
- Management and staff are always involved
together in increasing company productivity
through product-service innovation
- Leaders make the company's Net Profit Margin
better than similar companies
- I was a leader who made the company's GPM
better than similar companies.
Note: Gross Profit Margin compares gross profit to
net sales.

Source: Processed data (2021)

#### **Model Fit Index**

Based on the output of AMOS version 18, the magnitude of the coefficient of each relationship between variables can be observed. The coefficient of the relationship between the variables is significant as a measure of the impact of the antecedent variables on the consequent variables. The influence of a variable on other variables can be direct and tiered through the intermediary of one or more other variables. Based on the analysis of direct and indirect effects between variables, the magnitude of a variable's influence on one relationship path can be seen(Liu, 2015).

Relationships between variables can form unique paths based on solid theoretical justifications that each relationship between variables can be known based on the regression coefficient of the AMOS version 18 results. The results of the calculation of the value of each relationship path are presented in Table 9.

Table 9 Summary of model fit index

No	Track	Path Influence	Total Value
		Value	
1	Transformational Leadership) → Energizing Organizational Learning process	0,547	0,547
2	Energizing Organizational Learning process > Organizational Performance.	0,546	0,546

Source: Processed data (2021)

Based on data processing results, several findings were obtained based on direct and indirect relationships between variables using equations with the help of AMOS software version 18 (Table 10).

Table 10 Result AMOS Software Version 18

No	Causality	Regression	Statistics	Note:
		Coefficient		
1	Transformational	0.547	***	Sig
	Leadership)→Energizing			
	Organizational Learning process			
2	Energizing Organizational	0.546	***	Sig
	Learning process			
	→Organizational Performance.			

Source: Processed data (2021)

Notes: \*\*\* Sig. at *p* = 0.01 \*\* Sig. at *p* = 0.05

The research findings show that transformational Leadership has a significant effect on energizing the organizational learning process; this means that transformational Leadership can increase the energizing organizational learning process.

In this discussion section, we briefly discuss the results of a series of questionnaire questions that we sent to MSME owners in Tasikmalaya related to the energy process facilitated by transformational leadership and MSME organizational performance, which is the main objective of this study. According to the purpose of this study, the transformational leadership variable has given a strong influence and emotional and intellectual stimulation on the role of problem-solving in MSMEs and the individual considerations of employees in MSMEs. This finding is significant and novel because the tabulated results of the questionnaire data have shown different results from other studies. So we repeat that the distinguishing factors of transformational leadership have transformed MSMEs towards improvement through stimulation and motivation for employees so that they work to achieve MSMEs goals.

The index analysis results of the number above from 206 respondents indicate that transformational leadership has 67.9(Fashina, 2019; Meeks, 2020). This indicates the answer criteria from a rating of 10 to 100, which means that transformational leadership in Android MSMEs is in the high category. So, transformational leadership has influenced the integration of MSMEs, as answered by the correspondent(DeLotell, 2014). Here it is clear that the answers from the correspondents are similar to presenting the findings of the Imperial research with the results of this case study. Once again, we explain that transformational leadership, based on the data tabulation above, indicates this type of leadership has encouraged and provided support to MSMEs employees so that they can develop with growth through the trust given by the owner to each employee; in other words, the owner-manager can build trustemplovees and would automatically improve self-development MSMEs(Affandi et al., 2020).

The results of this study illustrate that this finding is significant, especially for policymakers, in this case, the government, how important it is to support the form of increasing human resources, especially the leadership aspect among MSMEs. In addition to the government, MSME implementers and MSME leadership study developers can take advantage of the results of this study so that leadership issues in running MSMEs that are oriented towards success and sustainability can be helped. So the results of this study have an impact on decision-making and research development in the future. Therefore, we researchers strongly recommend that every latest finding be applied to MSME operational contacts, especially the leadership area, so that MSME development can continue to be improved with all impacts and improvements in the future (Pogrow, 2019).

The author is aware of the limitations in carrying out this study, all of which are caused by our inability to carry out this study, both from the formulation of the problem of data collection, the appropriate methodology, and also other factors that have resulted in this study being not fully categorized as very good both in the problem formulation section, data collection methods And others related to the validity of the findings of this study. So based on these limitations, the author allows other parties to support and give feedback on things that are categorized as having

limitations so that this kingdom can improve in the future, perhaps with our inconsistent data, as we mentioned in the problem section above so that complexity and This weakness can be limited (Choy, 2014).

As for the managerial implications, the significant influence of inspirational motivation on innovation and proactiveness encourages leaders to involve workers in articulating a collective strategic vision in motivating them to start new internal innovative projects in the form of encouragement to share knowledge. Furthermore, leaders should think of training programs to increase workers' creativity and stimulate their intellectual abilities, increasing innovative behavior and renewing intellectual capital. Based on the description and practical description, it can be concluded that the construct of energizing the organizational learning process conceptually, empirically, and practically proved valid, relevant, and implementable. Valid in the sense that this concept can meet all the academic requirements needed to become a new construct in the field of human resource management studies. While relevant and implementing means that the construct has coherence with the actual conditions of the current business world and shows a substantial role in various business sectors, especially Tasikmalaya Embroidery SMEs. In particular, the novelty concept of energizing the organizational learning process would be very relevant and helpful to be applied in the context of organizational Performance.

### **CONCLUSION**

In the context of business practices in embroidery SMEs, the role of the leader is significant as a driving force in changing habits that have become habits. Transformational Leadership must have influence and idealized charisma (strong role models with high ethics), inspirational motivation (high team spirit and shared vision), intellectual stimulation (emphasizing problem-solving and creativity), and individual consideration (supportive climate and use of delegation). The results of the analysis of index numbers from 205 respondents showed that transformational Leadership had an average of 67.9, while the indicator with the highest index was intellectual stimulation (69.5). This means that: Leaders can solve problems that occur in MSMEs from various points of vie Leaders can assist employees in developing their talents; Leaders encourage employees to use creativity in completing work; Leaders encourage employees to always be innovative in completing their work. Tasikmalaya embroidery with company characteristics that are more dominated by family inheritance (83%), purchased from a third party (4%), and the rest are pioneers from the beginning, with the management education level being more dominant at the secondary education level (SMA), meaning that the leaders In UMKM, embroidery is a leader who is born, not created. Referring to the suggested leadership theory that emerges as a solid theoretical framework to explain the effects of transformational Leadership in MSMEs, Embroidery Tasikmalaya, where the theoretical composition of transformational Leadership in MSMEs contributes is relevant to the literature on the role of Leadership in energizing organizational learning processes.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We thank the government for the assistance and the share and colleagues of all the professional editors who have giving suggestion to the manuscript.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT**

All authors have contributed in a share and equal work. Therefore there is no conflicted interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Adiningsih, S. (2019). Transformasi Ekonomi Berbasis Digital di Indonesia: Lahirnya Tren Baru Teknologi, Bisnis, Ekonomi, dan Kebijakan di Indonesia. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Affandi, A., Sarwani, Sobarna, A., Erlangga, H., Siagian, A. O., Siagian, A. O., Purwanto, A., Effendy, A. A., Sunarsi, D., Wicaksono, W., Suyatin, Ariyanti, E., Wahyitno, Manik, C. D., Juhaeri, & Gunartin. (2020). Optimization of MSMEs Empowerment in Facing Competition in the Global Market during the COVID-19 Pandemic Time. *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy*, 11(11), 1506–1515. https://doi.org/10.31838/srp.2020.11.213
- Alimin, N. S. N. B., Awang, S. R. B., Ahmad, T., & Nain, S. M. (2018). Trustworthiness: The core of leadership in Islam. *SHS Web of Conferences*, *56*, 03002.
- Amodei, D., Ananthanarayanan, S., Anubhai, R., Bai, J., Battenberg, E., Case, C., Casper, J., Catanzaro, B., Cheng, Q., Chen, G., Chen, J., Chen, J., Chen, Z., Chrzanowski, M., Coates, A., Diamos, G., Ding, K., Du, N., Elsen, E., ... Zhu, Z. (2016). Deep Speech 2: End-to-End Speech Recognition in English and Mandarin. *Proceedings of The 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, 173–182. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v48/amodei16.html
- Ancok, D. (2002). Outbound management training: Aplikasi ilmu perilaku dalam pengembangan sumber daya manusia. UII press.
- Bakker, A. B., Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P., & Taris, T. W. (2008). Work engagement: An emerging concept in occupational health psychology. *Work & Stress*, 22(3), 187–200. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678370802393649
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership*. Psychology Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095
- Blau, G. (1993). Operationalizing Direction and Level of Effort and Testing Their Relationships to Individual Job Performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 55(1), 152–170. https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1993.1028
- Buckingham, M., & Goodall, A. (2015). Reinventing performance management. *Harvard Business Review*, 93(4), 40–50.
- Budihardjo, P. H., Lengkong, V. P. K., & Dotulong, L. O. H. (2017). Pengaruh Keselamatan Kerja, Kesehatan Kerja, Dan Lingkungan Kerja Terhadap Produktivitas Karyawan Pada PT. AIR MANADO. *Jurnal EMBA: Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi, 5*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.35794/emba.v5i3.18374
- Burns, E., & Groove, W. (2014). Research method. Ergonomics, 32(3), 237-248.
- Choi, S., Yusof, W. M. W., Tan, O., & Low, H. H. (2014). The impact of transformational leadership style on job satisfaction. https://doi.org/10.5829/IDOSI.WASJ.2014.29.01.1521
- Choy, L. T. (2014). The Strengths and Weaknesses of Research Methodology: Comparison and Complimentary between Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-194399104
- Conger, J. A., & Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The Empowerment Process: Integrating Theory and Practice. *Academy of Management Review*, 13(3), 471–482. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306983

- Das, B., & Pal, S. C. (2019). Combination of GIS and fuzzy-AHP for delineating groundwater recharge potential zones in the critical Goghat-II block of West Bengal, India. *HydroResearch*, 2, 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydres.2019.10.001
- Das, K., Wibowo, P., Chui, M., Agarwal, V., & Lath, V. (2019). Automation and the future of work in Indonesia Jobs lost, jobs gained, jobs changed. *McKinsey & Company*.
- DeLotell, P. J. (2014). Examining the relationship between department chair leadership style and organizational commitment of online adjunct faculty. Northcentral University.
- Dixon, S., Bornstein, J., Irshad, H., & Pankratz, D. M. (2019). The 2019 Deloitte City Mobility Index. *Deloitte Insights*, 18.
- Fashina, O. A. (2019). The Effects of Transformational Leadership on Motivation to Innovate as Moderated by Generational Cohorts [PhD Thesis]. Capella University.
- Fernandes, N. (2020). Economic Effects of Coronavirus Outbreak (COVID-19) on the World Economy (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. 3557504). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3557504
- Geldenhuys, M., Mortlock, M., Weyer, J., Bezuidt, O., Seamark, E. C. J., Kearney, T., Gleasner, C., Erkkila, T. H., Cui, H., & Markotter, W. (2018). A metagenomic viral discovery approach identifies potential zoonotic and novel mammalian viruses in Neoromicia bats within South Africa. *PLOS ONE*, 13(3), e0194527. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194527
- González-Loureiro, M., & Dorrego, P. F. (2012). Intellectual capital and system of innovation: What really matters at innovative SMEs. *Intangible Capital*, 8(2), 239–274.
- Hurduzeu, R.-E. (2015). The Impact of Leadership on Organizational Performance. *SEA Practical Application of Science, III*(07), 289–293.
- Liu, R. (2015). Management learning in business networks: The process and the effects. *Management Learning*, 46(3), 337–360. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507614537019
- Long, C. S., Yusof, W. M. M., Kowang, T. O., & Heng, L. H. (2014). The impact of transformational leadership style on job satisfaction. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 29(1), 117–124.
- Mason, R. A., Williams, D. L., Kana, R. K., Minshew, N., & Just, M. A. (2008). Theory of Mind disruption and recruitment of the right hemisphere during narrative comprehension in autism. *Neuropsychologia*, 46(1), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.07.018
- May, D. R., Gilson, R. L., & Harter, L. M. (2004). The psychological conditions of meaningfulness, safety and availability and the engagement of the human spirit at work. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 77(1), 11–37. https://doi.org/10.1348/096317904322915892
- McKenzie, J., & Varney, S. (2018). Energizing middle managers' practice in organizational learning. *The Learning Organization*, 25(6), 383–398. https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-06-2018-0106
- Meeks, J. (2020). The Leader's Role in Motivating Public Sector Workers. *Doctoral Dissertations and Projects*. https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/2690
- Mendes, F., & Stander, M. W. (2011). Positive organisation: The role of leader behaviour in work engagement and retention. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, *37*(1), 1–13.

- Metin Camgoz, S., Tayfur Ekmekci, O., Bayhan Karapinar, P., & Kumbul Guler, B. (2016). Job Insecurity and Turnover Intentions: Gender Differences and the Mediating Role of Work Engagement. *Sex Roles*, 75(11), 583–598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-016-0595-0
- Nofiar, N., Maswarni, M., Nurjaya, N., Sunarsi, D., & Haryadi, R. N. (2022). Implementation of Good Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in the Development of Human Resources in MSMEs, Iwul Parung Village on 2020. Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal): Humanities and Social Sciences, 5(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v5i1.4524
- Organ, D. W., & Moorman, R. H. (1993). Fairness and organizational citizenship behavior: What are the connections? *Social Justice Research*, 6(1), 5–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01048730
- Pogrow, S. (2019). How Effect Size (Practical Significance) Misleads Clinical Practice: The Case for Switching to Practical Benefit to Assess Applied Research Findings. *The American Statistician*, 73(sup1), 223–234. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2018.1549101
- Rafferty, A. E., Jimmieson, N. L., & Armenakis, A. A. (2013). Change Readiness: A Multilevel Review. *Journal of Management*, 39(1), 110–135. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206312457417
- Samad, S. (2012). The Influence of Innovation and Transformational Leadership on Organizational Performance. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 57, 486–493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1215
- Sari, S. Y., Sundari, P. D., Jhora, F. U., & Hidayati, H. (2020). Studi Hasil Bimbingan Teknis Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Berbasis Keterampilan Abad-21 dalam Rangka Penerapan Program Merdeka Belajar. *JURNAL EKSAKTA PENDIDIKAN (JEP)*, 4(2), 189–196. https://doi.org/10.24036/jep/vol4-iss2/527
- Shahzad, F., Luqman, R. A., Khan, A. R., & Shabbir, L. (2012). Impact of organizational culture on organizational performance: An overview. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, *3*(9), 975–985.
- Storey, J., Hartley, J., Denis, J.-L., Hart, P. 't, & Ulrich, D. (2016). *The Routledge Companion to Leadership*. Taylor & Francis.
- Wrzesniewski, A., LoBuglio, N., Dutton, J. E., & Berg, J. M. (2013). Job Crafting and Cultivating Positive Meaning and Identity in Work. Dalam A. B. Bakker (Ed.), *Advances in Positive Organizational Psychology* (Vol. 1, hlm. 281–302). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S2046-410X(2013)0000001015
- Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2007). The role of personal resources in the job demands-resources model. *International journal of stress management*, 14(2), 121.
- Yasin Ghadi, M., Fernando, M., & Caputi, P. (2013). Transformational leadership and work engagement: The mediating effect of meaning in work. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 34(6), 532–550. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-10-2011-0110
- Yulianeu, A., Ferdinand, A. T., & Purnomo, R. (2021). Transformational leadership and energizing organizational learning: Empirical model for improving community-based eco-tourism performance in Indonesia. *Geo Journal of Tourism and Geosites*, 38(4), 1135–1142.

Transformational Leadership, Energizing Organizational Learning process, and Organizational Performance at Embroidery MSME in District Tasikmalaya

Zulkifli, Nurhayatie, T., Widodo, & Junaidi, M. (2020). Improving organisational learning, strategic quality, organisational performance: Energising intellectual approach. *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*, 17(3), 297–308.

# **Copyright Holder:**

© Yusuf, M., & Yulianeu, A., (2022).

# First Publication Right:

© Jurnal Iqra' : Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan

This article is under:





